UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,219	04/14/2006	You Moon Jeon	YPL-0264	7001
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 03/28/200 BURN, LLP	EXAMINER		
20 Church Stree		KOSACK, JOSEPH R		
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/576,219	JEON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph R. Kosack	1626		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplication may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/1/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/576,219 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-9 in part) along with an election of species in the reply filed on February 19, 2008 is acknowledged.

Applicant's arguments have been considered, but were not found to be persuasive because distinctness and search burden are not considerations for a lack of unity requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

As a rejection is instantly made on the elected species, the search has been limited to the elected species. Claims 1-19 (in part) and 10-12 are withdrawn by the Examiner under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Priority

The claim to priority as a 371 filing of PCT/KR04/01647 filed July 3, 2004, which claims benefit of KR 10-2004-0043335 filed June 12, 2004 is acknowledged in the instant application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed on August 1, 2006 has been considered by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1626

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van der Slot et al. (*Organometallics*, 2002, 3873-3883) in view of Paganelli et al. (*Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, 2000, 1-8).

The instant claims are drawn to a catalyst composition comprising BPO-P(Pyl)₂, TPPO, and Rh(acac)(CO)₂.

Art Unit: 1626

van der Slot et al. teach the composition of

Rh(acac)(CO)₂ for hydroformylation. See page 3875 and Table 3 on page 3876, especially the top entry.

van der Slot et al. do not teach the use of TPP in the catalyst composition.

Paganelli et al. teach the use of TPPO with a rhodium catalyst for hydrofomylation. See page 3.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would take the catalyst composition of van der Slot et al. and modify it by adding the TPPO of Paganelli et al. with a reasonable expectation of success. The motivation to combine the references is that since TPPO and rhodium is a viable hydroformylation catalyst, it would make sense to one of skill in the art to try to add TPPO to the catalyst of van der Slot et al. to create a new catalyst composition.

Conclusion

Claims 1-9 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Kosack whose telephone number is (571)272-5575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,219 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Joseph R Kosack/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626